Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 409 (1991) 219–232 Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne JOM 21672

# Syntheses and NMR studies of mono alkyne complexes of molybdenum and tungsten

Jack L. Davidson \* and Frederic Sence

Department of Chemistry, Heriot-Watt University, Riccarton, Edinburgh EH14 4AS, Scotland (UK) (Received November 12th, 1990)

#### Abstract

Reactions of  $[MCl(CO)(PhC=CPh)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)]$  (M = Mo, 1a; W, (1b)) with TISR (R = Me, Et, <sup>n</sup>Pr, <sup>1</sup>Pr and <sup>1</sup>Bu) give thiolate complexes  $[MSR(CO)(PhC=CPh)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)]$  which according to IR and NMR spectroscopy exist in two isomeric forms as a result of restricted rotation about the M-SR bond. Reactions of  $[WCl(CO)(PhC=CPh)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)]$  and metal salts ML-L,  $\{ML-L = TIS2-Ph, TIS4-Py, TIacac, TIOAc, TIS_2P(OMe)_2\}$  (acac = 2,4-pentanedionato, Py = C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>N) give similar monoalkyne complexes  $[WL-L(CO)(PhC=CPh)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)]$  (3) in which the L-L species functions as a monodentate ligand. In contrast 1a and 1b react with NaS<sub>2</sub>CNMe<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O to give carbonyl-free derivatives  $[M(S_2CNMe_2)(PhC=CPh)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)]$  containing a bidentate dithiocarbamate ligand. Oxidation of  $[W\{S_2P(OMe)_2\}(CO)(PhC=CPh)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)]$  in air gives the oxo complex  $[W\{S_2P(OMe)_2\}(O)-(PhC=CPh)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)]$ , thought to contain a monodentate S<sub>2</sub>P(OMe)<sub>2</sub> ligand.

### Introduction

A wide range of mono- and bisalkyne complexes of the general types  $[M(X)(L)(RC \equiv CR)(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)]$  (M = Mo, W; X = Cl, Br, I, SR; L = CO, PR'\_3) and  $[MX(RC \equiv CR)_2(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)]$  (M = Mo, W; X = Cl, Br, I, SC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>) have been isolated in recent years and form part of an expanding class of complexes in which both sets of filled  $\pi$ -orbitals on the alkyne are involved in bonding with the metal [1]. As a consequence the alkyne in the former can be regarded as a four-electron donor whereas in the latter both alkynes on average donate three electrons to the metal [2,3,4]. Previously we have observed fluxional behaviour and isomerism in monoalkyne complexes [MSR(CO)(CF<sub>3</sub>C=CCF<sub>3</sub>)( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)] (M = Mo, W; R = CF<sub>3</sub>, C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>) which can be ascribed to the existence of two preferred orientations of the SR ligand about the M-S bond possibly resulting from sulphur  $\rightarrow$  metal  $\pi$  donation [4]. We therefore decided to expand the range of these complexes and also attempt synthesis of related complexes in which the thiolate ligand is replaced by a potentially chelating ligand. Two routes to monoalkyne complexes [MSR(CO)  $(RC=CR)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)$  have been developed previously, eqs. 1 [4] and 2 [5]. The first is limited by the stability of the tricarbonyl thiolate complexes which dimerise readily with M = Mo, particularly when R is not strongly electron withdrawing [6]. The second is limited by the range of alkyne ligands in complexes [MCl(CO)(RC=CR)- $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)$ ] to PhC=CR, R = Ph or Me only [7,8].

$$\left[\mathrm{MSR}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(\eta^{5} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{5}\mathrm{H}_{5})\right] + \mathrm{RC} = \mathrm{CR} \rightarrow \left[\mathrm{MSR}(\mathrm{CO})(\mathrm{RC} = \mathrm{CR})(\eta^{5} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{5}\mathrm{H}_{5})\right]$$
(1)

$$\left[\mathrm{MCl}(\mathrm{CO})(\mathrm{RC}\equiv\mathrm{CR})(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5}\mathrm{H}_{5})\right] + \mathrm{TISR} \rightarrow \left[\mathrm{MSR}(\mathrm{CO})(\mathrm{RC}\equiv\mathrm{CR})(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5}\mathrm{H}_{5})\right] \quad (2)$$

The latter route was employed in the present studies.

### **Results and discussion**

Reactions of  $[MCl(CO)(PhC=CPh)(n^5-C_sH_s)]$  (M = Mo, 1a; W, 1b) with thallium(I) thiolates TISR (R = Me, Et, <sup>n</sup>Pr, <sup>i</sup>Pr and <sup>t</sup>Bu) were carried out in dichloromethane at room temperature. With M = W the initial mixture changed from green to deep red within ca. 5 minutes whereas with M = Mo the solution merely became deeper green in colour. The resulting solutions on work up gave deep purple (M = Mo) or dark red (M = W) crystals of  $[M(SR)(CO)(PhC=CPh)(n^5-C_cH_c)](2a-i)$ in vields of 64 to 75% depending on the metal and the thiolate ligand. The mass spectra of the complexes in each case show a peak corresponding to the molecular ion although the peak of highest intensity is that due to  $[M - CO]^+$ . This is similar to related complexes  $[MSR(CO)(R'C=CR')(\eta^5-C_5H_5)]$   $(R = CF_3, C_6F_5; M = Mo,$  $R' = CF_3$ ,  $CH_3$ , Ph; M = W,  $R' = CF_3$ ,  $CH_3$ ) where molecular ions are observed in every case [4,5]. Before describing the IR and NMR spectroscopic data for the complexes, it is appropriate to describe the structure of the SC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub> derivative  $[M_0SC, F_{\epsilon}(CO)(CF, C=CCF_{\epsilon})(n^5-C_{\epsilon}H_{\epsilon})]$  as revealed by X-ray diffraction studies [9]. This is based upon approximately octahedral coordination at the metal with the cyclopentadienyl ligand occupying a face and the other three ligands corners of the octahedron. Of significance here is the orientation of the alkyne which lies parallel to the M-CO axis and hence perpendicular to the M-S bond. The spectroscopic data for complexes 2 are consistent with such a structure and consequently this will be assumed in further structural and spectroscopic discussion



Two isomeric forms of complexes 2a-j are indicated by the IR spectra which show two  $\nu(CO)$  modes in hexane solution. With the molybdenum derivatives these are observed at ca. 1935 and 1955 cm<sup>-1</sup> whereas slightly lower values (ca. 1930 and 1945 cm<sup>-1</sup>) are exhibited by the tungsten complexes. As mentioned previously the two isomers which give rise to two  $\nu(CO)$  modes are thought to result from two preferred orientations of the SR ligand with respect to the rest of the molecule, i.e. 2i and 2ii. The former has been observed previously in X-ray diffraction studies of related compounds



[Mo(SC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)(L)(CO)(CF<sub>3</sub>C=CCF<sub>3</sub>)( $\eta^{5}$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)] (L = CO) [9] [Mo(SC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>-4)-(L)(MeC=CMe)( $\eta^{5}$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)] (L = P(OMe)<sub>3</sub>) and [Mo(SC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>SPh-2)(L)(MeC=CMe)-( $\eta^{5}$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)] (L = CO) [10] where the L-Mo-S-C (aryl) torsion angles are 175.5, 178.6 and 179.5°, respectively. The alternative orientation 2ii is that in which the SR ligand has rotated by ca. 180° about the M-S bond relative to the orientation 2i. The origin of such orientational preferences appears to be electronic rather than steric and is a consequence of the electronic configuration of the metal. Formally the metal is divalent with a  $d^4$  configuration, which means that two filled  $d\pi$  orbitals are stabilised by interaction with the strong  $\pi$ -acceptor ligand CO. The third and empty  $d\pi$  orbital is therefore orientated along the metal-sulphur and metal-alkyne bond axes. As such it is available for  $\pi$  interaction with both the alkyne  $\pi \perp$  and sulphur  $p\pi$  orbitals, each of which is filled. Sulphur to metal  $\pi$  bonding is therefore maximised at two particular orientations 180° apart as illustrated with 2i and 2ii [3b]. With this background in mind the NMR data for complexes 2a-j will now be considered.

At room temperature the NMR spectra of the complexes show no sign of isomerism. Thus, the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum in each case consists of a singlet at ca.  $\delta$ 5.50 for the cyclopentadienyl group, a multiplet, at ca.  $\delta$  7.50 corresponding to two phenyl groups and other peaks below  $\delta$  3 corresponding to the alkyl groups attached to the sulphur atom. The  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$  NMR spectra each exhibit a singlet for the cyclopentadienyl ligand at ca.  $\delta$  230 (M = W) or  $\delta$  235 (M = Mo), a series of aromatic resources between ca.  $\delta$  127 and  $\delta$  140 and appropriate peaks below  $\delta$  50 corresponding to the carbons of the respective thiolate. Interestingly, two alkyne C=C resonances are observed in the  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$  spectrum of every complex studied, indicating that the alkyne adopts a preferred orientation at ambient temperature rather than exhibiting propeller rotation. This is consistent with data for other carbonyl alkyne derivatives of this type, e.g.  $[MoSR(CO)(MeC=CMe)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)]$  $(R = Me, 2-SPhC_{6}H_{4})$  [10],  $[WR(CO)(HC = CH)(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})]$   $(R = Me, Et, Pr \text{ or } {}^{t}Bu)$ [11,12,13] or  $[MSC_6F_5(CO)(CF_3C=CCF_3)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)]$  (M = Mo, W) [3b]. However, replacement of the carbonyl ligand in the SC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub> derivatives by tertiary phosphines or phosphites results in a reduction in the barrier to alkyne rotation. Consequently a single alkyne CF<sub>3</sub> resonance is observed in the <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra of complexes  $[MSC_5F_5(L)(CF_3C \equiv CCF_3)(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)]$  (M = Mo, W; L = PEt<sub>3</sub>, PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph or P(OMe)<sub>3</sub>) at or just above room temperature.

The <sup>13</sup>C NMR chemical shifts of the alkyne carbons of complexes 2 lie in the range ca.  $\delta$  175-181.5 when M = Mo and  $\delta$  180-185 when M = W, the chemical

shift of the carbonyl ligand lying in the range  $\delta$  235-237.5, M = Mo, and  $\delta$ 228-230, M = W. The  $\delta$ (C=C) values are similar to those reported for alkyl and acyl derivatives  $[W(R)(CO)(R'C=CH)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)]$  [12] (R = Mo, Et, <sup>n</sup>Pr, COMe, COEt or CO<sup>n</sup>Pr; R' = H or Ph) and are therefore consistent with the alkyne functioning as a 4-electron donor to the metal [14]. The values are somewhat lower than those of monoalkyne derivatives  $[M(S_2CNMe_2)_2(CO)(RC=CR')]$  (R = H, Ph; R' = H [14] and  $[WBr_2(CO)(CN^tBu)_2(RC=CR')$  [15] (R = Me, Ph; R' = Me), which are typically greater than 200 ppm. In fact the values of  $\delta$ (C=C) for 2 (M = Mo) are almost as low as those exhibited by bisalkyne derivatives  $[MCl(PhC=CPh)_2(\eta^5 C_{s}H_{s}$ ] (M = Mo,  $\delta = 182.7$  and 173.7; M = W,  $\delta = 185.8$  and 179.2, in which the alkynes can formally be considered as three-electron donors [16]. The tungsten derivatives 2f - j show a shift of ca. 13 ppm to lower  $\delta$  values relative to  $[WCl(CO)(PhC \equiv CPh)(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)] (\delta 197.1, 196.9) [16]$  suggesting that alkyne  $\pi \perp \rightarrow$ metal  $\pi$  donation is more significant in the latter. This is consistent with the proposal that  $\pi$  donation from sulphur to metal should lead to reduced  $\pi \perp$ donation from the alkyne (assuming that sulphur is a better  $\pi$ -donor than chlorine). We note that  $\delta(C=C)$  values in alkyne thiolate derivatives  $[Mo(S^{\dagger}Bu)_{2}(CN^{\dagger}Bu)_{2}]$ (RC=CR')] (R = R' = H or Ph; R = Ph, R = H) appear in the region  $\delta$  171–184, a fact ascribed to the strong donor properties of the thiolate ligand [17].

Since the tungsten isotope <sup>183</sup> W (14% abundance) is magnetically active  $(I = \frac{1}{2})$  coupling between the metal and some of the carbon nuclei, particularly those directly bonded to the metal, is to be expected in complexes 2. In most cases this was not observed due to poor signal/noise ratio, but in the case of [WSMe(CO)(PhC=CPh)( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)] (2f) quite distinct coupling was observed (<sup>1</sup>J(W-CO) = 159.5 Hz). Few tungsten carbonyl couplings have been published for this type of complex but this value compared closely with that observed for [WPh(CO)(HC=CH)( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)] [12] (<sup>1</sup>J(W-CO) = 155.0 Hz). The two metal-coordinated alkyne carbons resonances in 2f also exhibit tungsten satellites and interestingly two quite different coupling constants were determined (<sup>1</sup>J(W-C) = 58.5 Hz for the high field signal, <sup>1</sup>J(W-C) = 20.0 Hz for the low field peak). However, since the two alkyne carbons are not symmetry related different <sup>1</sup>J(W-C) values are to be expected. Moreover, the two values are similar to those reported for alkyl and acyl derivatives [W(R)(HC=CH)(CO)( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)] and [W(COR)(HC=CH)(CO)( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)] (R = Me, Et, <sup>n</sup>Pr, <sup>1</sup>Bu) [12].

The final point of interest in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of complexes [MSR(CO) (PhC=CPh)( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)] concerns the appearance of the two alkyne C=C resonances. Thus at 26°C one of the signals is relatively sharp whereas the other shows various degrees of broadening depending on the nature of the thiolate substituent. For example with 2f the effect is small whereas with some complexes, e.g. the S'Bu derivatives 2e and 2j one of the peaks has broadened almost into the baseline. Consequently the <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra of 2e and 2j were also recorded at low temperatures, -60°C for the latter and -70°C in the case of the former. In both cases the broad peak sharpened significantly, becoming comparable in general appearance to the other alkyne resonance. Moreover in each case a new, low-intensity resonance was also observed, close to the C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub> peak at ca.  $\delta$  95.

These data can be interpreted in terms of restricted rotation about the M-SR bond which, due to a proximity effect, results in broadening of one alkyne carbon selectively at ambient temperature. At low temperatures exchange of the two

rotational isomers is frozen out but, due to the small quantity of minor isomer present, can only be detected in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra by the most intense resonance, that of the cyclopentadienyl group. These conclusions are supported by variable temperature <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of 2e and 2j which were recorded in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> between 20 °C and -90 °C. One set of signals is observed at 20 °C for 2j but as the temperature is reduced broadening of both C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub> and <sup>t</sup>Bu singlets occurs. Eventually at temperature below ca -60 °C each singlet splits into two, consistent with the presence of two isomers 2i and 2ii ratio 1:10. Similarly two isomers of 2e were detected at  $-80^{\circ}$  C, ratio 1:15. Interestingly, however, no splitting of C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub> and the Me resonances was detected in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the SMe derivatives 2a and 2f down to  $-80^{\circ}$ C. Superficially this would indicate the presence of only one isomer and the absence of rotational isomerism in these derivatives. However, this is negated by the observation of the two  $\nu$ (CO) modes in the IR spectra near 2000  $cm^{-1}$ . It must therefore be concluded that two isomers exist but the barriers to rotation are significantly lower than those of the S<sup>t</sup>Bu derivatives 2e and 2i. Alternatively, the chemical shift separation of the isomer peaks is very small, either explanation resulting in low coalescence temperatures. Interestingly both isomers of  $[M(SCF_3)(CO)(CF_3C \equiv CCF_3)(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)]$  (M = Mo, W) can readily be detected by <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectroscopy at ca. -50 °C [3a]. This may partially reflect the larger chemical shifts which are a feature of <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectroscopy and the effect these have on coalescence temperatures. Alternatively, the barrier to rotation in [MSCF<sub>3</sub>- $(CO)(CF_3C \equiv CCF_3)(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)]$  (M = Mo, W) may also be higher.

Assuming that  $S \to M\pi$  donation occurs in monoalkyne complexes of type 2 this may be indicative of electron deficiency at the metal [18]. Although the alkyne is formally a four-electron donor, overlap of the  $\pi \perp$  orbitals with the metal orbitals is not as efficient as that of the  $\pi \parallel$  orbitals [2]. It was therefore of interest to induce coordination of another two electron donor to the metal so as to promote  $4 \to 2$ electron donation by the alkyne. We attempted this previously by reacting  $[MSC_6F_5(CO)(CF_3C\equiv CCF_3)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)]$  (M = Mo, W) with tertiary phosphines and phosphites but this resulted in carbonyl substitution rather than ligand addition [3b]. Accordingly a different approach was taken in which reactions of 1 with anionic bidentate ligands  $L-L^-$  were carried out.

Reactions between the complex [WCl(CO)(PhC=CPh)( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)] and metal salts ML-L {ML-L = TlS2-Py, Tlacac, TlOAc, TlS<sub>2</sub>P(OMe)<sub>2</sub>} proceed readily in dichloromethane at room temperature giving deep red to brown solutions from which red to brown crystals were isolated after several crystallisations from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/hexane. The products **3a**-e, which analysed as 1 : 1 complexes [W(LL)(CO)(PhC=CPh)( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)], were obtained in yields ranging from 31 to 56% depending on the nature of the ligand, somewhat lower than those of the thiolate compounds **2**. Similar reactions between the molybdenum derivative [MoCl(CO)(PhC=CPh)( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)] and TlL-L (L-L = S2-Py, acac and OAc) were also carried out but all attempts to isolate crystalline products failed. In contrast reactions of [MCl(CO)(PhC=CPh)( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)] (M = Mo, W) with NaS<sub>2</sub>CNMe<sub>2</sub> proceeded readily in dichloromethane at room temperature and dark brown crystalline complexes [M(S<sub>2</sub>CNMe<sub>2</sub>)(PhC=CPh)-( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)] (M = Mo, **4a**; M = W, **4b**) were isolated on recrystallisation from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/hexane. Complexes **4a** and **4b** proved to be much more air sensitive than the relatively stable thiolate complexes studied.

Of the two most likely structures available to carbonyl derivatives [W(L-

 $L(CO)(PhC=CPh)(\eta^5-C_cH_c)$ ]. 3i and 3ii, spectroscopic data favour the former, as will now be discussed. In contrast with thiolate complexes 2 the mass spectrum in each case (except for L-L = S4-Py) exhibits a peak at highest m/z value corresponding to  $[M - CO]^+$  with no trace of the molecular ion  $[M]^+$ . Significantly, however, a molecular ion was observed with 3b where the ligand S4-Py is more akin to an alkylthiolate in that chelation is not possible. This may indicate that the driving force for loss of CO is chelation of the bidentate ligand. The IR spectra of complexes 3 show a single  $\nu(CO)$  mode near 1940 cm<sup>-1</sup> which is close to those of the alkylthiolates 2. This argues in favour of a similar structure 3i with a monodentate ligand L-L on the basis that bidentate co-ordination as in 3ii would be expected to increase M-CO back donation and hence decrease the  $\nu$ (CO) frequency. Despite this conclusion no splitting of the  $\nu(CO)$  mode in the spectrum of 3a was observed when the spectrum was recorded in petroleum ether. This may indicate either degeneracy of the two isomer bonds or alternatively that S-M  $\pi$  donation does not occur to any extent and hence that rotational isomers do not exist. The  $\nu$ (COO) region of the IR spectra of carboxylate complexes can in appropriate circumstances provide diagnostic data which distinguish between mono- and bidentate behaviour [19]. If the difference between  $\nu(COO)_{asymm}$  and  $\nu(COO)_{sym}$ stretching frequencies (denoted  $\Delta$ ) is similar to or smaller than that for NaOOCR it is deemed to be characteristic of bidentate behaviour. For example [MoBr(OAc)- $(CO)_2(PPh_3)_2$  exhibits bands at 1530 and 1450 cm<sup>-1</sup>, i.e.  $\Delta = 80$  cm<sup>-1</sup>, consistent with a bidentate acetate group. However,  $[Mo(OAc)_2(CO)_2(PPh_3)_2]$  with both mono- and bidentate acetate ligands shows  $\nu(COO)_{asymm}$  and  $\nu(COO)_{sym}$  bands at 1530 and 1450 cm<sup>-1</sup> ( $\Delta = 80$  cm<sup>-1</sup>—bidentate) and 1618 and 1370 cm<sup>-1</sup> ( $\Delta = 248$  $cm^{-1}$  monodentate). In the case of 3d three strong bands are observed at 1667 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 1577 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1362 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which is somewhat ambiguous and the assignment of the third band is not easy. Tentatively, by comparison with  $[Mo(OAc)_2(CO)_2(PPh_3)_2]$  we assign the 1667 cm<sup>-1</sup> band as  $\nu(COO)_{asymm}$  and that at 1362 cm<sup>-1</sup> as  $\nu(COO)_{sym}$  giving  $\Delta = 305$  cm<sup>-1</sup>. This suggests a monodentate ligand, a conclusion which is supported by <sup>13</sup>C NMR data, as will now be discussed.



The <sup>1</sup>H NMR data are unremarkable showing aromatic peaks ( $\delta$  7-8), a C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub> singlet near  $\delta$  5.6 and appropriate resonances due to the ligand L-L. No structural information is provided by the spectra since, for example, the acac and S<sub>2</sub>P(OMe)<sub>2</sub> derivatives both show two methyl group environments consistent with either structure, **3i** or **3ii**. However, the <sup>13</sup>C NMR data are much more informative in view of

the fact that in 3i the alkyne is formally a four-electron donor whereas in 3ii it only requires to donate two electrons to the metal. The spectrum of 3 in each case exhibits two weak resonances in the region  $\delta$  180 to 195 which can be assigned to the alkyne carbons. Structure 3i containing a monodentate ligand L-L is therefore suggested. Significantly, the  $\delta$ (C=C) values exhibit a wider range than the thiolate complexes illustrating a sensitivity to the nature of the donor atom in the chelate ligand. The chemical shift of the carbonyl carbon is similarly also sensitive to the nature of L-L, which is not unexpected. In the case of the S<sub>2</sub>P(OMe)<sub>2</sub> complex 3a the carbonyl peak appears as a doublet, J(P-C) = 11.5 Hz, presumably as a result of coupling to phosphorus.

As mentioned earlier the S<sub>2</sub>CNMe<sub>2</sub> ligand is different from the other chelate anions  $L-L^-$  in that carbonyl products 3 are not obtained in reactions with  $[MCl(CO)(PhC \equiv CPh)(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)]$ . Satisfactory analytical data were not obtained for the two complexes isolated, possibly due to their pronounced sensitivity to air, but spectroscopic data are consistent with the formula  $[M(S_2CNMe_2)(PhC \equiv CPh)(\eta^5 C_{s}H_{s}$ ] (M = Mo, 4a; M = W, 4b), The mass spectrum in each case contains a molecular ion and a peak  $[M - PhC = CPh]^+$  whilst a  $\nu(CO)$  mode is absent from the IR spectra. Three sets of resonances are present in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra, viz. aromatic signals near  $\delta$  7.5, a C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub> singlet at ca.  $\delta$  5.2 and an S<sub>2</sub>CNMe<sub>2</sub> singlet around  $\delta$  3.2, ratio 10:5:6. Corresponding peaks are observed in the <sup>13</sup>C {<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra which were recorded at  $-25^{\circ}$ C to minimise decomposition. Of particular interest are the alkyne  $\delta$  C=C resonances which appear above 220 ppm. In each case two peaks are observed in this region, one of which is assigned to the  $S_2CNMe_2$  carbon by analogy with those of  $[W(S_2CNEt_2)_2(PhC=CH)(MA)]$  (MA = maleic anhydride),  $\delta$  206.8, 206.4 [20] and [Mo(S<sub>2</sub>CNEt<sub>2</sub>)( $\eta^4$ -C<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>4</sub>)( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)],  $\delta$ 220.8 [21]. In the case of **4b** the peak at  $\delta$  220.39 exhibits tungsten satellites (J(W-C) = 59.8 Hz) and is therefore assigned to the alkyne C=C carbons which are directly bonded to the metal. The lower field peak  $\delta$  235.44 with no tungsten coupling must therefore be due to the  $S_2CNMe_2$  carbon. Unfortunately, the two corresponding peaks in the spectrum of 4a exhibit similar chemical shifts ( $\delta$  222.51 and  $\delta$  220.60) and this, in conjunction with the absence of a magnetically active metal nucleus to couple to, renders assignment impossible.

The above spectroscopic data are consistent with two structures 4i and 4ii. Although only one C=C resonance is observed in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra it is possible that alkyne rotation in an asymmetric structure 4i is fast on the <sup>13</sup>C NMR timescale at  $-25^{\circ}$ C leading to averaging of the two alkyne carbon environments. Alternatively the alkyne adopts the symmetrical conformation 4ii with respect to the rest of the molecule which contains a plane of symmetry.



The data for complexes 4 can be compared with those for the related complex  $[MoS_2CNMe_2(MeC\equiv CMe)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)]$  [10] which also shows only one alkyne  $C\equiv C$  resonance in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum. A symmetrical conformation (cf. 4ii) is found in alkene complexes such as  $[Mn(alkene)(CO)_2(\eta^5-C_5H_5)]$  where restricted rotation has been studied by dynamic <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy [22]. Moreover, extended Hückel calculations of  $[Mo(HC\equiv CH)(CO)_2(\eta^5-C_5H_5)]^-$  suggest that the symmetrical orientation is the most favoured [23]. Although these observations provide support for structure 4ii it must be pointed out that X-ray diffraction studies of the comparable bisphosphine cation  $[Mo(PMe_3)_2(MeC\equiv CMe)(\eta^5-C_9H_7)]^+$  revealed that in the solid state the alkyne lies approximately parallel to one of the Mo–P bonds of 4i [3]. Consequently it is not possible to unambiguously distinguish between 4i and 4ii with the data available.

As mentioned earlier in this section complexes 3 and 4 are relatively air sensitive, particularly in solution. In this respect they resemble the precursors [MCl(CO)- $(PhC \equiv CPh)(\eta^{5} - C_{5}H_{5})$  which undergo oxidation in air to give oxo complexes  $[MCl(O)(PhC \equiv CPh)(\eta^{5}-C_{s}H_{s})]$  (M = Mo, W) [16]. It is therefore not surprising that when a diethylether solution of  $[WS_2P(OMe)_2(CO)(PhC=CPh)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)]$  was exposed to air a pale yellow complex  $[WS_2P(OMe)_2(O)(PhC \equiv CPh)(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)]$  (5) was isolated in 47% yield. The properties of this derivative are somewhat similar to those of the oxo complexes  $[MCl(O)(PhC=CPh)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)]$  (M = Mo, W) although only <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra provide structural information. For example the former, in addition to aromatic peaks near  $\delta$  7.5, contains a C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub> singlet at  $\delta$  6.36. This value is shifted to low field relative to the carbonyl complex 3e by 0.5 ppm illustrating the effect of the higher oxidation state in the oxo complex, W<sup>IV</sup>, as opposed to  $W^{II}$  in 3e. The two  $S_2P(OMe)_2$  methyl group doublets experience a similar shift of ca 0.5 ppm to low field. This effect is also seen in the  ${}^{13}C$  {<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum where the  $C_5H_5$  singlet appears at  $\delta$  106.40 some 12 ppm higher than in 3e. The most significant effect however, is the shift of the alkyne  $C \equiv C$ carbons to low  $\delta$  where two doublets are observed at  $\delta$  147.94 and  $\delta$  146.57. The doublet splittings (J = 5.9 Hz and J = 20.3 Hz, respectively) presumably result from coupling to phosphorus. The low  $\delta(C=C)$  values are comparable to those of  $[MoCl(O)(PhC=CPh)(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})], \delta(C=C) = 146.55 \text{ and } 144.11 [16], \text{ and are signifi$ cantly lower than those of complexes 3. This can readily be explained by simple bonding arguments with particular reference to the related thiolate  $[MoSC_{6}F_{4}(O) (CF_3C \equiv CCF_3)(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)$  [9] and the phenyl derivative  $[W(C_6H_5)(O)(PhC \equiv CPh)(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)]$  $C_{5}H_{5}$ ] [24]. X-ray diffraction studies of these two complexes reveal octahedral coordination at the metal with the cyclopentadienyl group occupying a face and the other three ligands corners of the octahedron. As such they are similar to carbonyls 2 except that the  $\pi$ -acceptor carbonyl has been replaced by a  $\pi$ -donor oxo ligand. The M-O distance in the oxo complexes is particularly short and approaches that expected for a formal M=O triple bond as a result of  $\pi$  donation from oxygen into two empty  $d\pi$  orbitals. This leaves one filled  $d\pi$  orbital to back donate into the alkyne  $\pi^*$  orbitals, which requires that the alkyne rotates by ca. 90° to lie perpendicular to the M-O bond as shown. Thus to a first approximation the alkyne functions as a two-electron donor and low  $\delta(C=C)$  values are therefore to be expected.

When only a two-electron donor interaction is possible, as in [Mo(MeC= CMe)( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>],  $\delta$  values in the region of 120 ppm are to be expected [14]. Hence

the larger values observed for  $[MCl(O)(PhC=CPh)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)]$  and  $[WS_2P-(OMe)_2(O)(PhC=CPh)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)]$  may reflect the fact that the alkyne  $\pi \perp$  orbital is able to partially donate electron density into one of the two  $d\pi$  orbitals which interact with the filled  $p\pi$  orbitals on oxygen. The competition between two  $\pi$  donors for one empty metal  $d\pi$  orbital is essentially the same situation as that described for  $[MSR(CO)(PhC=CPh)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)]$  where the alkyne and thiolate are the  $\pi$  donors. Interactions of this type have been considered previously in oxo complexes of the type  $[MoS_2CNR_2)_2(O)(R^1C=CR)]$  which have been studied by Extended Hückel methods [2]. The  $\delta(C=C)$  values for complex 5 are intermediate between those of  $[WCl(PhC=CPh)_2(\eta^5-C_5H_5)]$ ,  $\delta$  185.53 and 179.15, where the alkynes are three-electron donors [16] and  $[Mo(MeC=CMe)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2]$ ,  $\delta$  115.3, where only two-electron donation is possible [14]. Thus in 5 the alkyne can partially compete with the oxo ligand in  $\pi$  donartion and as a result may be described approximately as a "two and a half" donor ligand on the basis of  $\delta(C=C)$  values.



The preceding arguments are all based on the assignment of structure 5i to  $[WS_2P_2]$ 

 $(OMe)_2(O)(PhC=CPh)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)]$ . This seems reasonable on the basis that the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data are very similar to those of  $[MoCl(O)(PhC=CPh)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)]$ . However it should be noted that as with carbonyls 2 an alternative structure 5ii, containing a bidentate  $S_2P(OMe)_2$  ligand is also possible. The alkyne in 5ii is strictly a two-electron donor and should possibly have  $\delta(C=C)$  values similar to, e.g.,  $[Mo(MeC=CMe)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2]$ , ca.  $\delta$  115 ppm [28]. Since the  $\delta(C=C)$  values for 5 are more in accord with structure 5i we exclude the alternative 5ii.

## Experimental

NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL MH100 (<sup>1</sup>H, 100 MHz) and a Bruker WP200 SY (<sup>1</sup>H, 200.13 MHz, <sup>13</sup>C, 50.32 MHz); chemical shifts are referred to SiMe<sub>4</sub> ( $\delta = 0$  ppm). IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 580 and mass spectra on a Vacuum Generator's updated AEI MS9. Reactions were carried out under dry oxygen-free nitrogen using standard Schlenk techniques. Solvents were dried over powdered calcium hydride (Et<sub>2</sub>O, hexane) or P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) and distilled under nitrogen just before use. Complexes [MCl(CO)(PhC=CPh)( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)] (M = Mo, W) [7,8] and salts TISR, (R = Me, Et, <sup>1</sup>Pr, <sup>n</sup>Pr, <sup>t</sup>Bu, S<sub>2</sub>P(OMe)<sub>2</sub>, S2-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>N and S4-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>N) [25] were synthesised according to previously published procedures.

Reaction of  $[MoCl(CO)(PhC \equiv CPh)(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)]$  (1a) with TISMe. A mixture of complex 1a (200 mg, 0.5 mmol) and an excess of TISMe (190 mg, 0.75 mmol)) were stirred in dichloromethane (25 cm<sup>3</sup>) at room temperature for 1 h when the colour

changed from light green to dark green and a white precipitate formed. The mixture was filtered, the solution concentrated *in vacuo* and on addition of hexane (5 cm<sup>3</sup>) and cooling to  $-20^{\circ}$ C an impure solid was obtained. This was recrystallised from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/hexane to give deep purple crystals of [Mo(SMe)(CO)(PhC=CPh)( $\eta^{5}$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)] (2a) (142 mg, 69%). (Found C, 60.2; H, 4.0; calc. C, 60.7; H, 4.4%.) M/z 414 = [M]<sup>+</sup>. IR (hexane)  $\nu$ (CO) 1959 (ms), 1935 (s) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.49 (m, 10H, Ph), 5.51 (s, 5H, C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 2.66 (s, 3H, Me); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, -10°C)  $\delta$  235.7 (CO), 181.4, 180.6 (C=C), 137.7-127.4 (Ph), 95.4 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 30.3 (Me).

Reaction of  $[MoCl(CO)(PhC \equiv CPh)(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)]$  (1a) with TlSEt. Complex 1a (200 mg, 0.5 mmol) and TlSEt (200 mg, 0.75 mmol) similarly gave, after 1.5 h, 146 mg (69%) of deep purple crystals of  $[Mo(SEt)(CO)(PhC \equiv CPh)(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)]$  (2b). (Found C, 60.9; H, 4.6; calc. C, 61.5; H, 4.7%.) M/z 428 =  $[M]^+$ . IR (hexane)  $\nu$ (CO) 1959 (s), 1938 (s) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.55 (m, 10H, Ph), 5.46 (s, 5H, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 3.0 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.18 (t, 3H, Me). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 26°C),  $\delta$  234.8 (CO) 180.8, 180.5 (C=C), 137.9–127.3 (Ph), 95.4 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 40.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 19.1 (Me).

Reaction of  $[MoCl(CO)(PhC \equiv CPh)(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)]$  (1a) with  $TlS^nPr$ . Complex 1a (200 mg, 0.5 mmol) and  $TlS^nPr$  (210 mg, 0.75 mmol) similarly gave, after 2 h, 149 mg (68%) of deep purple crystals of  $[Mo(S^nPr)(CO)(PhC \equiv CPh)(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)]$  (2c). (Found C, 62.1; H, 4.9; calc. C, 62.4; H, 5.0%.) M/z 442 =  $[M]^+$ . IR (hexane)  $\nu$ (CO) 1955 (s), 1938 (s) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.46 (m, 10H, Ph), 5.50 (s, 5H, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 3.05 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.55 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 0.92 (t, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 26°C)  $\delta$  234.9 (CO), 180.6, 180.3 (C=C), 137.8–127.3 (Ph), 95.8 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 48.9, 26.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 13.4 (Me).

Reaction of  $[MoCl(CO)(PhC \equiv CPh)(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)]$  (1a) with  $TlS^iPr$ . Complex 1a (200 mg, 0.5 mmol) and  $TlS^iPr$  (210 mg, 0.75 mmol) similarly gave, after 2 h, 140 mg (64%) of deep purple crystals of  $[Mo(S^iPr)(CO)(PhC \equiv CPh)(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)]$  (2d). (Found C, 60.9; H, 4.7; calc. C, 62.4; H, 5.0%.) M/z 442 =  $[M]^+$ . IR (hexane)  $\nu$ (CO) 1958 (ms), 1939 (s) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.49 (m, 10H, Ph), 5.48 (s, 5H, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 3.24 (m, 1H, <sup>1</sup>Pr), 1.18 (d, 3H, Me), 1.14 (d, 3H, Me). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 26°C) 235.2 (CO), 180.0, 173.1 (C=C), 138-127.2 (Ph), 95.3 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 49.0 (CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 26.8, 23.0, (CHMe<sub>2</sub>).

Reaction of  $[MoCl(CO)(PhC \equiv CPh)(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)]$  (1a) with TlS'Bu. Complex 1a (200 mg, 0.5 mmol) and TlS'Bu (220 mg, 0.75 mmol) similarly gave, after 2.5 h, 148 mg (65%) of deep purple crystals of  $[Mo(S'Bu)(CO)(PhC \equiv CPh)(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)]$  (2e). (Found C, 63.1; H, 5.5; calc. C, 63.1; H, 5.3:) M/z 456 =  $[M]^+$ . IR (hexane)  $\nu$ (CO) 1960 (w), 1930 (s) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.47 (m, 10H, Ph), 5.44 (s, 5H, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 1.29 (s, 9H, <sup>1</sup>Bu). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -70°C)  $\delta$  237.5 (CO), 179.2, 175.6 (C=C), 138.8-126.9 (Ph), 95.5, 94.2 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 47.3 (CMe<sub>3</sub>), 39.3 (CMe<sub>3</sub>).

Reaction of  $[WCl(CO)(PhC \equiv CPh)(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)]$  (1b) with TlSMe. Complex 1b (200 mg, 0.41 mmol) and an excess of TlSMe (155 mg, 0.615 mmol) were stirred in dichloromethane (20 cm<sup>3</sup>) at 20 °C for 1 h when a rapid change of colour from dark green to red occurred and a white precipitate formed. The mixture was filtered and the volume of the solution reduced to ca 5 cm<sup>3</sup>. Hexane (8 cm<sup>3</sup>) was added and on cooling to -15 °C dark red crystals were obtained. Several recrystallisations from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/hexane gave pure crystals of [WSMe(CO)(PhC=CPh)( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)] (2f) (155 mg, 76%). (Found C, 50.3; H, 3.6; calc. C, 50.2; H, 3.6%.) M/z 502 =  $[M]^+$ . IR (hexane)  $\nu$ (CO) 1944 (s), 1936 (w) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.52 (m, 10H, Ph),

5.62 (s, 5H, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 2.66 (s, 3H, Me). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 26°C), 229.6 (J(W-C) 159.5, CO), 185.2 (J(W-C) 20.0,  $C \equiv C$ ), 183.3 (J(W-CO 58.5,  $C \equiv C$ ), 139.6–127.7 (Ph), 94.1 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 32.9 (Me).

Reaction of  $[WCl(CO)(PhC \equiv CPh)(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)]$  (1b) with TlSEt. Complex 1b (200 mg, 0.41 mmol) and TlSEt (163 mg, 0.615 mmol) similarly gave, after 1.5 h, 156 mg (74%) of dark red crystals of  $[WSEt(CO)(PhC \equiv CPh)(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)]$  (2g). (Found C, 51.6; H, 4.0; calc. C, 51.2; H, 3.9%.) M/z 516 =  $[M]^+$ . IR (hexane)  $\nu$ (CO) 1944 (s), 1930 (s). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.52 (m, 10H, Ph), 5.56 (s, 5H, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 2.90 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.08 (t, 3H, Me). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 26°C)  $\delta$  228.7 (CO) 184.8, 183.4 (C=C), 139.0–127.4 (Ph), 93.9 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 43.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 18.9 (Me).

Reaction of  $[WCl(CO)(PhC \equiv CPh)(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)]$  (1b) with  $TlS^nPr$ . Complex **1b** (200 mg, 0.41 mmol) and TIS<sup>n</sup>Pr (172 mg, 0.615 mmol) similarly gave, after 1 h, 160 mg (74%) of dark red crystals of  $[WSPr^n(CO)(PhC \equiv CPh)(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)]$  (2h). (Found C, 52.6; H, 4.1; calc. C, 52.1; H, 4.1%.) M/z 530 =  $[M]^+$ . IR (hexane)  $\nu$ (CO) 1950 (s), 1933 (m) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.52 (m, 10H, Ph), 5.57 (s, 5H, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 2.98 (m, 2H, CH<sub>4</sub>), 1.45 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 0.86 (t, 3H, Me). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 26°C)  $\delta$  228.4 (CO), 184.7, 183.4 (C=C), 139.0–127.4 (Ph), 93.5 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 51.4, 26.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 13.3 (Me).

Reaction of  $[WCl(CO)(PhC \equiv CPh)(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)]$  (1b) with  $TlS^iPr$ . Complex 1b (200 mg, 0.41 mmol) and TlS<sup>i</sup>Pr (172 mg, 0.615 mmol) similarly gave, after 1.5 h, 162 mg (75%) of dark red crystals of  $[WS^iPr(CO)(PhC \equiv CPh)(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)]$  (2i). (Found C, 52.5; H, 4.1; calc. C, 52.1; H, 4.1%.) M/z 530 =  $[M]^+$ . IR (hexane)  $\nu$ (CO) 1950 (s), 1935 (m) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 7.53 (m, 10H, Ph), 5.58 (s, 5H, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 3.08 (m, 1H, CH), 1.19 (d, 3H, Me), 1.04 (m, 3H, Me). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 26°C) 229.8 (CO), 183.5 (br), 182.3 (C=C), 139.7-127.4 (Ph), 94.0 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 52.2 (CHMe), 27.9, 27.3 (CHMe<sub>2</sub>).

*Reaction of*  $[WCl(CO)(PhC \equiv CPh)(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)]$  (1b) with TlS'Bu. Complex 1b (200 mg, 0.41 mmol) and TlS'Bu (181 mg, 0.615 mmol) similarly gave, after 1.5 h, 161 mg (73%) of dark red crystals of  $[WS'Bu(CO)(PhC \equiv CPh)(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)]$  (2j). (Found C, 51.9; H, 4.3; calc. C, 53.0; H, 4.4%.) M/z 544 =  $[M]^+$ . IR (hexane)  $\nu(CO)$  1953 (w), 1923 (s) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 7.52 (m, 10H, Ph), 5.57 (s, 5H, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 1.21 (s, 9H, 'Bu). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 26°C)  $\delta$  230.9 (CO), 182.3, 180.2 (br) (C=C), 139.3-126.8 (Ph), 93.86 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 48.7, (CMe<sub>3</sub>), 33.7 (CMe<sub>3</sub>).

Reaction of  $[WCl(CO)(PhC \equiv CPh)(\eta^5 \cdot C_5H_5)]$  (1b) with  $TlS_2P(OMe_2)$ . Complex 1b (200 mg, 0.41 mmol) and  $TlS_2P(OMe)_2$  (148 mg, 0.41 mmol) were stirred in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (25 cm<sup>3</sup>) for 4 h at room temperature to give a red mixture. Solvent was removed *in vacuo*, and the residue chromatographed over a Florisil-packed column using diethyl ether as eluant. The resulting solution was concentrated *in vacuo* to ca. 5 cm<sup>3</sup>, hexane (10 cm<sup>3</sup>) added, and on cooling to  $-15^{\circ}$ C purple crystals were obtained. Recrystallisation from diethylether/hexane gave [W{S\_2P(OMe)\_2}(CO)-(PhC=CPh)( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)] (3a) (112 mg, 45%). (Found C, 43.1; H, 3.4; calc. C, 43.1; H, 3.4%.) M/z 485 =  $[M - CO]^+$ . IR (KBr)  $\nu$ (CO) 1952 (s) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>,  $-25^{\circ}$ C)  $\delta$  7.9–7.3 (m, 10H, Ph), 5.78 (s, 5H, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 3.36 (d, J(P–H), 14.4, 3H, Me), 3.21 (d, J(P–H), 14.3, 3H, Me). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -25^{\circ}C) 224.9 (d, J(P–C), 11.5, CO), 195.9, 195.8 (C=C), 138.9–127.2 (Ph), 94.9 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>). OMe obscured by solvent peak.

Reaction of  $[WCl(CO)(Ph \equiv CPh)(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)]$  (1b) with TlS2-Py. Complex 1b (200 mg, 0.41 mmol) and TlS2-Py (170 mg, 0.53 mmol) were treated as above to give red

crystals of [W(S2-Py)(CO)(PhC=CPh)( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)] (**3b**) (128 mg, 56%). (Found C, 52.6; H, 3.3; calc. C, 53.1; H, 3.4%.) M/z 537 =  $[M - CO]^+$ . IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu$ (CO) 1938 (s) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -25°C)  $\delta$  8.6 (br, d, 1H), 8.05 (br, d, 2H), 7.50 (m, 1H), 7.26 (m, 1H), 7.04 (m, 1H) (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>N + Ph), 5.60 (s, 5H, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -25°C)  $\delta$  228.6 (CO), 188.3, 186.6 (C=C), 170.7, 148.4, 138.9-119.6 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>N + Ph), 94.8 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>).

Reaction of  $[WCl(CO)(PhC \equiv CPh)(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)]$  (1b) with TlS4-Py. In a similar reaction, complex 1b (200 mg, 0.41 mmol) and TlS4-Py (0.17 g, 0.53 mmol) were treated as above to give red crystals of  $[W(S4-Py)(PhC \equiv CPh)(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)]$  (3c) (120 mg, 52.1%). (Found C, 52.6; H, 3.4; calc. C, 53.1; H, 3.4%.) M/z 565 =  $[M]^+$ . IR (KBr)  $\nu$ (CO) 1930 (s) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -25°C)  $\delta$  8.31 (m, 2H), 7.89 (m, 2H), 7.50 (m, 8H), 7.13 (m, 2H) (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>N + Ph), 5.55 (s, 5H, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -25°C) 226.07 (CO), 192.3, 185.1 (C=C), 163.5, 148.2, 139.3 - 126.9 (Ph + C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>N), 94.8 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>).

Reaction of  $[WCl(CO)(PhC \equiv CPh)(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)]$  (1b) with TlOAc. Complex lb (200 mg, 0.41 mmol) and a small excess of TlOAc (120 mg, 0.45 mmol) were dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and the solution stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The deep green solution which rapidly turned red was concentrated *in vacuo* to ca. 5 cm<sup>3</sup> and centrifuged. Hexane (10 cm<sup>3</sup>) was added to the clear solution, and on cooling to  $-15^{\circ}$ C deep red crystals were obtained. A second crystallisation from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/hexane gave [W(OAc)(CO)(PhC=CPh)( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)] (3d) (107 mg, 51%). (Found C, 50.8; H, 3.5; calc. C, 51.4; H, 3.5%.) M/z 486 =  $[M - CO]^+$ . IR (KBr)  $\nu$ (CO) 1939 (s), 1931 (s) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -25°C)  $\delta$  7.9-7.3 (m, 10H, Ph), 5.78 (s, 5H, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 1.76 (s, 3H, Me). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -25°C)  $\delta$  227.7 (CO), 193.5, 913.2 (C=C),179.2 (O<sub>2</sub>CMe), 139.0-127.8 (Ph, 96.1 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 21.8 (O<sub>2</sub>CMe).

Reaction of [WCl(CO)(PhC≡CPh)( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)] (1b) with Tlacac. The acetylene complex 1b (200 mg, 0.41 mmol) and Tlacac (140 mg, 0.46 mmol) (molar ratio 1:1:1) were treated as above to give dark red crystals of [W(acac)(CO)(Ph-C≡CPh)( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)] (3e) (70 mg, 31%). (Found C, 53; H, 4.1; calc. C, 54.2; H, 4.0%.) IR (KBr) ν(CO) 1920 (s) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -25°C) δ 7.6-7.1 (m, 10H, Ph), 5.48 (s, 5H, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 5.19 (s, 1H, acac, C-H), 1.90 (s, 3H, Me), 1.60 (s, 3H, Me). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -25°C) δ 222.7 (CO), 189.9, 187.5 (C≡C), 164.7, 161.3 (acac, CO), 144.9-126.3 (Ph), 101.9 (acac, C-H), 93.7 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 27.3 (acac, Me).

Reaction of  $[MoCl(CO)(PhC \equiv CPh)(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)]$  (1b) with  $NaS_2CNMe_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ . An equimolar amount of the molybdenum complex 1a (200 mg, 0.5 mmol) and  $NaS_2CNMe_2 \cdot 2H_2O$  (90 mg, 0.5 mmol) was stirred in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (25 cm<sup>3</sup>) at room temperature for 2 h when the colour changed from light green to dark brown. The volume of the solution was reduced to ca. 5 cm<sup>3</sup> and then centrifuged. Hexane (5 cm<sup>3</sup>) was added and on cooling to  $-15^{\circ}C$  impure crystals obtained. A second crystallisation from  $CH_2Cl_2$ /hexane gave black crystals of  $[Mo(S_2CNMe_2)-(PhC \equiv CPh)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)]$  (4a) (47 mg, 25%). (Found C, 44.5; H, 3.8; calc. C, 57.5; H, 4.6%.) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD\_2Cl\_2, -25^{\circ}C)  $\delta$  7.65-7.2 (m, 10H, Ph), 5.20 (s, 5H, C\_5H\_5), 3.19 (s, 6H, Me). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CD\_2Cl\_2, -25^{\circ}C)  $\delta$  2222.5, 220.1 (CNMe\_2 and C=C), 140.2-127.9 (Ph), 92.9 (C\_5H\_5), 39.1 (CNMe\_2).

Reaction of  $[WCl(CO)(PhC \equiv CPh)(\eta^5 \cdot C_5H_5)]$  (1b) with  $NaS_2CNMe_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ . Complex 1b (200 mg, 0.41 mmol) and  $NaS_2CNMe_2 \cdot 2H_2O$  (73 mg, 0.41 mmol) were dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (25 cm<sup>3</sup>) and stirred at +20 °C for 2 h. The colour changed from dark green to brown and a white precipitate formed. The solution was concentrated *in vacuo* to ca. 8 cm<sup>3</sup> and centrifuged. Hexane (10 cm<sup>3</sup>) was added and on cooling to  $-15^{\circ}$ C dark brown crystals of [W(S<sub>2</sub>CNMe<sub>2</sub>)(PhC=CPh)( $\eta^{5}$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)] (4b) were obtained. These were recrystallised from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/ hexane to give 48 mg, 21.5%. (Found C, 40.5; H, 3.41; calc. C, 48.3; H, 3.8%.) *M/z* 547 = [*M*]<sup>+</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>,  $-25^{\circ}$ C)  $\delta$  7.6–7.2 (m, 10H, Ph) 5.24 (s, 5H, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 3.08 (s, 6H, Me). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>,  $-25^{\circ}$ C)  $\delta$  235.4 (CNMe<sub>2</sub>), 220.4 (*J*(W-C) 60.0, C=C), 141.8–127.2 (Ph), 90.2 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 38.4 (CNMe<sub>2</sub>).

Oxidation of  $[W{S_2P(OMe)_2}](CO)(PhC \equiv CPh)(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)]$  (3a) by air. Complex 3a (50 mg, 0.082 mmol) was dissolved in diethyl ether (10 cm<sup>3</sup>) in air and sealed in a tube until the red purple solution became yellow. The solution was then centrifuged and concentrated *in vacuo*, hexane added and on cooling to  $-15^{\circ}$ C yellow crystals of  $[W{S_2P(OMe)_2}(O)(PhC \equiv CPh)(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)]$  (5) were obtained (23 mg, 47%). (Found C, 42.1; H, 3.5; calc. C, 42.0; H, 3.5%.) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.9–7.2 (m, 10H, Ph), 6.36 (s, 5H, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 3.87 (d, J(P-H), 14.5, 3H, Me), 3.80 (d, J(P-H) 14.5, 3H, Me). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 26° C)  $\delta$  147.9 (d, J(P-C), 6.0, C \equiv C), 146.6 (d, P-C), 19.5, C = C), 136.1–128.2 (Ph), 54.0 (d, J(P-C) 8.0, OMe), 53.7 (d, J(P-C) 6.0, OMe).

### Acknowledgement

F.S. thanks the Stevenson Foundation for financial assistance.

### References

- 1 J.L. Templeton, Adv. Organomet. Chem., 29 (1989) 1.
- 2 J.L. Templeton, P.B. Winston and B.C. Ward, J. Am. Chem. Soc, 103 (1981) 7713.
- 3 S.R. Allen, P.K. Baker, S.G. Barnes, M. Green, L. Trollope, Lj. Manojlović-Muir and K.W. Muir, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1981) 873.
- 4 (a) P.S. Braterman, J.L. Davidson and D.W.A. Sharp, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1976) 241; (b) J.L. Davidson, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1986) 2423.
- 5 J.L. Davidson, M. Green, F.G.A. Stone and A.J. Welch, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1977) 287.
- 6 R.B. King and N. Welcman, Inorg. Chem., 8 (1969) 2540; J.L. Davidson and D.W. Sharp, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 107 (1972); R. Havlin and G. Knox, Z. Naturforsch., 21b (1966) 1108.
- 7 J.L. Davidson and D.W.A. Sharp, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1975) 2531.
- 8 J.L. Davidson, M. Green, F.G.A. Stone and A.J. Welch, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1976) 738.
- 9 J.A.K. Howard, R.A.D. Stansfield and P.F. Woodward, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1976) 246.
- 10 S.R. Allen, M. Green, N.C. Norman and P.F. Woodward, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1984) 2747.
- 11 H.G. Alt, J. Organomet. Chem., 127 (1977) 349.
- 12 H.G. Alt, J. Organomet. Chem., 288 (1985) 149.
- 13 H.G. Alt, M.E. Eichner and B.M. Jansen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 21 (1982) 861.
- 14 J.L. Templeton and B.C. Ward, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 102 (1980) 2188.
- 15 J.L. Davidson and G. Vasapollo, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1985) 2239.
- 16 J.L. Davidson, unpublished work.
- 17 M. Kamata, K. Hirotsu, T. Higuchi, M. Kido, K. Tatsumi, T. Yoshida and S. Otsuka, Inorg. Chem., 22 (1983) 2416.
- 18 M.T. Ashby and J.H. Ennemark, J. Am. Chem. Soc, 108 (1976) 730; Wan-Abu Bakar, J.L. Davidson, W.E. Lindsell and K.J. McCullough, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1990) 61.
- 19 G.B. Deacon and R.J. Phillips, Coord. Chem. Rev., 33 (1981) 227.
- 20 J.R. Morrow and J.L. Templeton, J. Am. Chem. Soc, 107 (1985) 6956.
- 21 J.L. Davidson and F. Sence, unpublished results.

- 22 H.G. Alt and H.E. Engelhardt, J. Organomet. Chem., 346 (1988) 211 and references therein.
- 23 B.E.R. Schilling, R. Hoffmann and D.L. Lichtenberger, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 101 (1979) 585.
- 24 N.G. Bokiy, Yu.V. Gatilov, Yu.T. Strutchkov and N.A. Ustynyuk, J. Organomet. Chem., 54 (1973) 213.
- 25 J.L. Davidson, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1987) 2715.